Theragnostic Magnetic Core-Shell Nanoparticle as Versatile Nanoplatform for Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Drug Delivery
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Abstract: In this study, magnetic core-shell (MCS) nanoparticles were prepared as theragnostic potential nanoplatforms for simultaneously targeted drug delivery systems for tamoxifen and diagnosis. MCS nanoparticles were prepared in a well-shaped spherical form by the o/w emulsion method and characterized by means of dynamic light scattering (DLS), Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, and vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) indicated spherical nanostructures' formation with the final average particle size of around 80 nm. The findings proved the superparamagnetic properties of the MCS nanoparticles with relatively high-magnetization values (11.69 emu/g), which indicate that they were sensitive enough to external magnetic fields as a magnetic drug carrier. The nanoparticles showed 8.14% and 52.19% drug loading and encapsulation efficiency, respectively. MCS nanoparticles showed sustained release behavior for 120 h in the phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH= 7.4, 5.4) at 37 °C. The ratio between transverse and longitudinal relaxivity (r2/r1) value of the MCS nanoparticles was around 20, indicating their potential as a T2 MRI contrast agent. It can be concluded that the prepared MCS nanoparticles may serve as a promising carrier as an MRI contrast agent and targeted controlled anticancer drug delivery.

Keywords: theragnostic; mPEG-PCL; tamoxifen; magnetite; contrast agent; controlled release.
superparamagnetic property, possess the potential for being guided through an external magnetic field and act as a targeting moiety for different drug delivery systems [2, 3]. In contrast to the high potential of MNPs as imaging, diagnostic and targeting agent for the preparation of various theragnostic, they suffer from a high tendency to agglomeration, low loading capacity, and short half-life in body fluids as a drug delivery system. To overcome these shortcomings, MNPs nanostructures with different polymer shells have been introduced [4-8]. In these structures, MNPs act as a targeting potential and imaging agent, while polymers prevent their aggregation and simultaneously increase loading capacity and circulation time. Xie et al. [9] have investigated the in vivo MRI contrast properties of polyethylene glycol (PEG), PEG/PEI (polyethyleneimine), and PEG/PEI/Tween 80 modified superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPION). They showed various vascular imaging effects after 24 h intravenous injections of the prepared samples. Yoon et al. [10] developed a theragnostic agent composed of epirubicin (EPI) loaded ultra-small superparamagnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticle and poly aspartic acid, which showed a high relaxivity, r₂ value, and cytotoxic against cancer cells.

Poly (ε-caprolactone)/poly(ethylene glycol) (mPEG-PCL) copolymers because of biodegradable, biocompatible, and amphiphilic properties are considered as a favorable candidate for the formulation of advanced drug carrier [11, 12]. PEG-PCL copolymers have attracted the most attention for poorly water-soluble drug entrapment and increased their water solubility and bioavailability due to PCL’s hydrophobic segment. Besides, the hydrophilic PEG block can potentially enhance the circulation time of drugs. It can prohibit recognition by macrophages of the reticuloendothelial system (RES) after intravenous injection [13].

Tamoxifen is mostly used for post-menopausal women and prophylactic therapy. Due to important side effects such as drug resistance and endometrial cancer, tamoxifen demands targeted transfer to the tumor site and increased uptake by the tumor cells [14, 15].

This paper follows our previous study on developing MNPs coated with chitosan and folic acid-conjugated chitosan as a trimodally-targeted nanomagnetic on-theragnostic system [16]. We report the preparation of magnetic core-shell nanoparticles (MCS) as theragnostic nanoplatorms for tamoxifen delivery. Amphiphilic mPEG-PCL copolymers were used on the surface of MNPs, and MNPs play the role of contrast agent for imaging (MRI) when exposed to an alternating magnetic field.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials.

In this in-vitro study ε-caprolactone (ε-CL) obtained from Sigma, stannous octoate (Sn (Oct)₂) obtained from Acros, dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), poly (ethylene glycol) methyl ether (mPEG, Mw=5 KDa), 4-dimethylamioptyridine (DMAP), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, Mw: 13-23 KDa), and chloroform, ferric chloride hexahydrate, ferrous chloride tetrahydrate, and NH₄OH (25%) were from Merck. Dialysis tubing (molecular weight cutoff 12-14 KDa) were purchased from Sigma.

2.2. Synthesis of mPEG/PCL di-block copolymer.

The preparation of the polymer is illustrated in Fig. 1. The mPEG-PCL was prepared in dry toluene by ring-opening polymerization of ε-caprolactone using mPEG as initiator and Sn (Oct)₂ as a catalyst. Briefly, mPEG was added in 25 mL of dry toluene under nitrogen flow in a 150 mL dried round-bottom flask. Then, some drops of Sn (Oct)₂ solution initiated the
polymerization reaction, and the blend was refluxed under nitrogen for 18 h at 120 ºC under magnetic stirring. Then cool to room temperature, the resultant mPEG-PCL copolymer was precipitated by quenching in cold ethyl ether. The product was dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature for 12 h [17].

2.3. Synthesis of hydrophobic magnetite nanoparticles (MNPs).

The hydrophobic magnetic nanoparticles were prepared by the co-precipitation technique from an aqueous Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ solution (molar ratio 2:1). Briefly, a known quantity of FeCl₃ and FeCl₂ were dissolved in 50 ml distilled water, and 3 mL of ammonium hydroxide (5 M) was added quickly. Then the oleic acid was added drop by drop and stirred [18]. The solution was collected by an external magnet and washed three times with distilled water and ethanol to remove excess oleic acid.

2.4. Preparation of magnetic core-shell nanoparticles.

Magnetic core-shell (MCS) nanoparticles were synthesized by the emulsion evaporation method (oil-in-water). Briefly, di-block copolymer (mPEG-PCL, 50 mg), oleic acid-coated magnetite (5 mg), and tamoxifen (10 mg) were dissolved in 10 mL of dichloromethane (DCM). The solution was added drop-wise into the aqueous PVA solution (0.5 % w/v, 50 mL) under mechanical stirring (RW20, IKA, Germany) to gain an o/w emulsion. The organic solvent evaporated slowly to form the micelles. Nanoparticles were separated by centrifugation (14000 rpm) and washed with water several times, and finally freeze-dried at a pressure of 14 Pa and 78 ºC (EYELA, 2100, Tokyo, Japan).

2.5. Characterization of the mPEG-PCL copolymers and drug-loaded MCS nanoparticles.

2.5.1. ¹H NMR.

The copolymer's chemical structure was recognized by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H NMR) in CDCl₃ at 400 MHz (Bruker, Advance 400). ¹H-NMR spectra were obtained with CDCl₃ as solvent and TMS as internal standard, using a Bruker 400MHz apparatus at 25 ºC.

2.5.2. FTIR analysis.

To confirm the drug's presence in the nanoparticles and the interaction between the components, the end product spectrum and the initial materials were compared. FTIR spectra were recorded by KBr disks in the range of 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹ [19].

2.5.3. DSC analysis.

Interaction between drug and copolymer or physical changes was studied using thermal analysis (DSC) (Mettler Toledo, model Star SW 9.30, Selangor, Switzerland). Samples were heated at a rate of 10 ºC min⁻¹ and the data were recorded from 0 to 200 ºC. (Mettler Toledo, model Star SW 9.30, Selangor, Switzerland).
2.5.4. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis.

Philips CM100 electron microscope operating at 20 KV and CM120 TEM (Philips) (accelerating voltage: 200 kV) were used to obtain SEM and TEM images of MCS nanoparticles.

2.5.5. Size and zeta potential analysis.

The size and zeta potential of the synthesized nanoparticle was determined by a Nano/zeta sizer (Malvern Instruments, Nano ZS, Worcestershire, UK) working on the dynamic light scattering (DLS) platform.

2.5.6. Vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) analysis.

VSM (Lakeshore 7400, United States) was applied to investigate the magnetic properties of synthesized nanoparticle and hysteresis loops at room temperature from −20000 to 20000 Oe.

2.5.7. Determination of drug loading and encapsulation efficiency.

Briefly, 50 mg MCS was added to 10 mg of tamoxifen in 5 mL ethanol. Then the mixture stirred for 12 h. The tamoxifen-loaded MCS were separated by an external magnet and then washed three times with deionized water. The tamoxifen entrapped nanoparticles were dried in a vacuum oven at 30 °C for 12 h. Then was redispersed in ethanol solvent. Drug loading was calculated as follows:

\[
DL\% = \frac{\text{weight of the drug in nanoparticles}}{\text{weight of the nanoparticles}} \times 100\% \quad 1
\]

Entrapment efficiency (EE%) of tamoxifen in MCS was calculated by using the following equation:

\[
EE\% = \frac{\text{weight of the drug in nanoparticles}}{\text{weight of the feeding drug}} \times 100\% \quad 2
\]

The unloaded tamoxifen was determined by measuring the drug's concentration in the supernatant by UV-Vis spectrophotometry at a wavelength of 250 nm.

The release kinetics of formulations were evaluated by zero-order, first-order, Korsmeyer-Peppas model, and Higuchi’s kinetic models.

2.6. Drug release study.

10 mg of freeze-dried MCS was placed into a dialysis bag in a flask containing 15 mL of phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS, pH 7.4, and pH=5.4) with 0.5% Tween 80. The flask put in a shaking incubator (SI-1000, Heidolph, Germany), and at predetermined time intervals, 0.5 ml of samples were withdrawn, and 0.5 ml of fresh PBS was replaced to maintain sink conditions. The amount of released drug was monitored by measuring samples' absorbance using UV spectrophotometer at 250 nm (Thermo Fisher Scientific, GENESYS 10S, Waltham, MA). The cumulative amount and percent of tamoxifen released from the nanoparticles were calculated. All the release studies were carried out in triplicate.

2.7. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

MCS as an MRI contrast agent was used to determine the longitudinal (T_1) and transversal (T_2) relaxation times and calculating r_1 and r_2 relaxivities by using a clinical 1.5 T
whole-body magnetic resonance (MR) scanner (Siemens Healthcare Avanto Germany) at 25°C. T₁ and T₂ weighted phantom MRI images were obtained in a series of a colloidal suspension of nanoparticles with iron concentrations of 0, 25, 50, 75, and 200 µM.

The T₁ relaxation times were estimated by applying the spin-echo imaging sequence at various repetition times of 100, 1550, 3150, 4750, and 6400 ms with an echo time of 18 ms, slice thickness: 7.5 mm, the field of view (FOV): 230, and matrix: 200×256.

The T₂ relaxation values were determined to apply the spin-echo sequence with repetition times (TR) of 1600 ms and varying echo time (TE) of 10, 43, 75, 107, and 140 ms, slice thickness: 7.5 mm, the field of view (FOV): 238, Turbo factor: 18, matrix: 176×384.

The T₁ and T₂ relaxation values were determined from mean signal intensities within a manually drawn region of interest (ROI) for each sample, measured with the help of DicomWorks 1.3.5 software. The signal intensity vs. TR or TE functions were exponentially fitted based on the following equations [20, 21]:

\[ I = M_0[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{TR}{T_1}\right)] \]  
\[ I = M_0\exp\left(-\frac{TE}{T_2}\right) \]

Where I is the signal intensity, and M₀ is constants. Relaxation rate R₁ (1/T₁) and R₂ (1/T₂) were calculated using equations 3 and 4. By plotting R₁ and R₂ over Fe concentration of synthesized MNPs, the slope indicates the specific relaxivity, r₁, and r₂, respectively.

2.11. Statistical analysis.

All experiments were done in triplicate, and the results are reported as the mean ± standard deviation.

3. Results and Discussion

This study is about the synthesis of copolymer-coated magnetic nanoparticles used as a tamoxifen delivery system. The nanoparticle structure with a hydrophobic core and hydrophilic outer shell provides a suitable environment for the transport of both hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs. In a general sense, mPEG–PCL is self-assembled into a nanosphere with a core-shell structure in the presence of MNPs. It is hypothesized that in the presence of oleic acid-coated magnetite nanoparticles in the oil phase, they are located in the molecular core by the interaction between oleic acid and hydrophobic PCL block. In contrast to magnetite's hydrophobic nature, such a phenomenon causes the particles to be dispersible in water owing to mPEG block covered the particle surface and prevents vicinity to neighboring particles.

3.1. Copolymer characterization.

mPEG-PCL di-block copolymer was synthesized using the ring-opening polymerization of e-caprolactone in the presence of mPEG, whose hydroxyl end groups initiate the ring-opening reaction (Fig 1).
Figure 1. Schematic synthesis route of the mPEG-PCL copolymer.

FTIR spectra (Fig. 2) and $^1$HNMR (Fig. 3) were utilized to verify the chemical structure of the synthesized mPEG–PCL copolymer. In the FTIR spectrum, the peaks at 2951, 1729, and 1101 cm$^{-1}$ can be referred to as the alkyl group (C–H), the ester carbonyl (C=O), and the ether group (C–O–C) stretching of mPEG-PCL copolymer, one-to-one [22].

In $^1$HNMR spectrum, the chemical shifts for PEG and PCL protons are as follows: (CDCl$_3$, ppm, TMS): 3.4 ppm (a, 3H, CH$_3$–O–), 3.7 ppm (b, 4H, –O–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–), 4.1 ppm (c, 2H, O–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–OCO), 2.3 ppm (d, 2H, CO–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–O), 1.8 ppm (e, 4H, CO–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–O), 1.4 ppm (f, 2H, CO–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–O), and 4.1 ppm (g, 2H, CO–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–CH$_2$–O). The HNMR spectrum demonstrates the effective preparation of mPEG-PCL copolymer.

PCL’s molecular weight in the copolymer backbone was estimated from the integral ratio of the methylene protons adjacent to carbonyl groups in PCL repeating units (peak d, 2.30 ppm) methylene proton signals in the repeating units of mPEG. Therefore, the number average molecular weight of synthesized mPEG-PCL block copolymer was calculated to be around 19 KDa.

The melting temperature of the copolymer was measured by DSC (Fig 4). The results revealed that the melting phenomenon of the copolymer is occurring at 54.82 °C.
3.2. Characterization of drug-loaded MCS nanoparticles.

Fig. 5 shows the FTIR spectra of mPEG-PCL (A), tamoxifen (B), and magnetic mPEG-PCL nanoparticles containing tamoxifen (C). In the C spectrum, the band located at 606 cm\(^{-1}\) in spectrum belongs to Fe–O bonds [23], and the band located at 2906 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to the CH\(_2\) groups of oleic acid on the surface of magnetite and mPEG. The absorption band at 1728 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the C=O stretching vibrations of ester linkages in the PCL block. Tamoxifen as payload drug showed two peaks at 1300 and 1217 cm\(^{-1}\), which are assigned to the C-N and C=O stretching bands, respectively. All peaks of materials (A) and (B) are visible in the product (C).

3.3. Particle size, zeta potential, and morphology of MCS nanoparticles.

The hydrodynamic diameter and the polydispersity index of MCS nanoparticles were done by the DLS instrument. The results were around 183.4 nm and 0.215, respectively (Table 1). Considering the particle size of mPEG-PCL prepared with the same procedure, the MCS nanoparticles’ hydrodynamic diameters increased due to the presence of the MNPs. The results of the zeta potential analysis of MCS nanoparticles were determined to be around -5.4 mV. The negative zeta potential of nanoparticles may be described by the attendance of some PCL segments on the surface of micelles.
Table 1. Particular size and zeta potential of tamoxifen loaded and unloaded nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>Zeta potential (mV)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>magnetite</td>
<td>74.25</td>
<td>-21.5</td>
<td>0.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG-PCL nanoparticles</td>
<td>120.5</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic mPEG-PCL nanoparticles</td>
<td>183.4</td>
<td>-4.96</td>
<td>0.399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SEM analysis was applied to obtain images of the MCS nanoparticles and confirm their figure and morphology. Figure 6 shows the semi-spherical nanostructures with an average diameter of about 80 nm. The aggregation of nanoparticles in SEM image may be due to some magnetic interaction between MCS nanoparticles.

![Figure 6. SEM image of MCS nanoparticles.](image)

Fig. 7 shows the TEM image of MCS nanoparticles. It reveals that micelles showed a semi-spherical structure with a mean particle size of around 70 nm.

![Figure 7. The TEM image of MCS nanoparticles.](image)

3.4. DSC analysis.

DSC experiments were tested to determine the physical condition of polymers and drugs in different structures and check for the probability of any interactions amongst drug and nanoparticles. The thermal behavior of the mPEG-PCL copolymer is shown in Fig. 8A. Figure 8C shows the DSC thermogram of free tamoxifen, a sharp endothermic melting transition at around 143 ºC. Figure 8B and D are shown the DSC thermograms of tamoxifen loaded MCS nanoparticles and tamoxifen loaded mPEG-PCL micelles (magnetic free nanoparticles) with an endothermic peak at 54. 82 ºC and 143 ºC, respectively. The appearance of the tamoxifen melting point in the thermogram gives another evidence for encapsulation and the presence of...
the drug in the MCS nanoparticle structure. The slight shift of the melting point of tamoxifen in both mPEG-PCL micelles and MCS nanoparticles compared to the free drug indicates some kind of interaction between the drug and copolymer.

![Figure 8.](image)

**Figure 8.** DSC thermograms of (A) mPEG-PCL copolymer, (B) tamoxifen loaded MCS nanoparticles, (C) tamoxifen, (D) tamoxifen loaded mPEG-PCL micelles (magnetic free nanoparticles).

### 3.5. Physical stability.

The size of the nanoparticles was evaluated for up to one week. As shown in Table 2, all nanoparticles show a little increase in their size upon storage. This observation can be explained by the attractive force that arises from magnetite inside the MCS nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>74.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 day</td>
<td>74.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 day</td>
<td>76.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnetite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG-PCL nanoparticles</td>
<td>120.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>123.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic mPEG-PCL nanoparticles</td>
<td>183.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>185.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>185.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.6. Magnetic properties of nanoparticles.

Vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) analysis was used to study the magnetic properties of MCS nanoparticles. The magnetization hysteresis loops are shown in Figure 9. The saturation magnetization was found to be 80.12 and 11.69 emu/g for MNPs and MCS nanoparticles, respectively. The reduction in MCS nanoparticles' saturation magnetization is likely due to the presence of mPEG-PCL coating on the magnetic nanoparticles (i.e., a shell formation process). The same hypothesis has been reported for other nanoparticles [24].

![Figure 9.](image)

**Figure 9.** Hysteresis loop by VSM of (a) MNPs, (b) MCS.
3.7. Drug-loading parameters.

The number of encapsulated drugs in the nanoparticles was assayed using UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Entrapment efficiency (%EE) of the mPEG-PCL micelles (magnetic free nanoparticles) was approximately 70%, and drug loading efficiency (%DL) was 12%. As clearly shown, tamoxifen has favorable drug-loading efficiency in mPEG-PCL micelles. This desirable value is due to the structural property of the copolymer micelle that entraps the hydrophobic drugs. In the case of MCS nanoparticles, drug loading efficiency and encapsulation efficiency were decreased to 8.14%, and 52.19%, respectively. These results can be due to magnetic nanoparticles' attendance in the core of nanoparticles, limiting drug encapsulation.


Figure 10 shows the tamoxifen release profiles from MCS nanoparticles. MCS nanoparticles' release behavior was investigated using a dialysis membrane in PBS (0.5% Tween 80) at a physiological pH of 7.4 and an acidic pH of 5.4 at 37 ºC. Given MCS nanoparticles' drug release behavior, it was clear that it exhibited a pH-sensitive release profile, with the higher release in acidic pH [25, 26]. The drug release mechanism from MCS nanoparticles relies mainly on a diffusion process from the hydrophobic inner part through the copolymeric segments constituting the nanoparticle. The drug release information in the present study was the best fit for Korsmeyer- Peppas equation. Our experimental data satisfied the linear fitting up to 75% tamoxifen release from MCS with the n value of 0.68 (R2= 0.9849).

![Figure 10. The drug release profile of MCS nanoparticles at the pH values of 7.4 and 5.3.](image)

3.9. MRI contrast enhancement.

The effect of nanoparticles on the longitudinal (T1) and transverse (T2) proton relaxation was evaluated using a clinical 1.5 T whole-body magnetic resonance (MR) scanner. Fig. 11 shows T1-weighted MR images of MCS nanoparticles with iron concentrations of 0, 25, 50, 75, 100, and 200 µM in deionized water. An increase in the phantom images' pixel intensity with the increasing of Fe concentration was clearly observed in the T1-weighted MR images. Longitudinal relaxivity (r1) values calculated by the linear fit of the following equation 5:

\[
R_i = \frac{1}{T_i} = \left( \frac{1}{T_{i_0}} \right) + r_i C
\]

Where \( R_i \) is the relaxation rate, \( T_{i_0} \) is the relaxation time in the pure water, \( C \) is the concentration of the contrast agent, and \( r_i \) is relaxivity.
According to Fig. 12, the longitudinal relaxivity value of 4.171 mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ was observed for MCS nanoparticles. It is clear that the relaxivities are greatly affected by the aqueous medium’s distance from the magnetite core. On the other hand, the hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity of the coatings on the surface of magnetic nanoparticles impact water diffusion within the polymeric layer. Thereby, it can be postulated that the presence of hydrophobic inner shells of MCS nanoparticles, including oleic acid and PCL layers, will exclude water molecules and, consequently, extend the water molecules’ distance from the magnetite core finally result in low longitudinal relaxivity [27]. It has been reported that magnetite nanoparticles are commonly used as T$_2$ MRI contrast agents, and consequently, they are able to decrease the MR signal intensity by dephasing proton spins [28-30]. Figure 12 shows T$_2$-weighted MR images of MCS nanoparticles with iron concentrations.

A significant gradual signal drop of the phantom images with increasing concentration of Fe was observed. The r$_1$ and r$_2$ values calculated from the slope of linear plots of 1/T$_1$ and 1/T$_2$ versus concentration are given in Fig. 13 and 14.
A contrast agent's efficiency is determined by the ratio between transverse and longitudinal relaxivity ($r_2/r_1$). The $r_2/r_1$ value of as-prepared nanoparticles was around 20 (Table 3), which is higher than that of Reservist, a commercially available MRI contrast agent [31]. This study has hinted at the potential of MCS nanoparticles as T2 MRI contrast agents.

Table 3. The longitudinal relaxivity ($r_1$, mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$), transverse relaxivity ($r_2$, mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$), $r_2/r_1$ values and R2 of chitosan-coated magnetite nanoparticles was calculated by plotting the T1 relaxation rate (1/T1) and T2 relaxation rate (1/T2) as a function of Fe concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticles</th>
<th>$r_1$ (mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$r_2$ (mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$r_2/r_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCS nanoparticles</td>
<td>4.174</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>86.46</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>20.713</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, magnetite was synthesized via the co-precipitation method with oleic acid as a stabilizer. After identifying the synthesized compound by different methods, the synthesized mPEG-PCL copolymer was exposed as a shell around the magnetic core. To identify the final magnetic micelles' composition, FT-IR, DLS, TEM, SEM, and VMS spectra were used. Magnetic micelle as a dual agent and drug delivery system was prepared. The nanoparticles drug loading and encapsulation efficiency were 8.14% and 52.19%, respectively. MCS nanoparticles showed sustained release behavior for 120 h in the phosphate-buffered saline in 5.3 pH at 37 °C and were used as a contrast agent for MRI diagnosis to enhance image contrast. It can be concluded that these synthesized nanoparticles have the potential for biomedical applications.
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